

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

<b>GLORIA BRADFORD, Individually</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>and as Class Representative on</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Behalf of All Similarly Situated</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Persons; NED BURNETT, JR.,</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Individually and as Class Representative</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>On Behalf of All Similarly Situated</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Persons; SAMUEL ALEXANDER,</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Individually and as Class Representative</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>On Behalf of All Similarly Situated</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Persons; BOOKS ETC., by and through</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>GLORIA BRADFORD, Class</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Representatives on Behalf of All Those</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Similarly Situated; and STELLA</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>PATRICIA SMITH, Individually and as</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Class Representative on Behalf of All</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Similarly Situated Persons,</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Plaintiffs,</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>vs.</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>COMPANY,</b>	<b>§</b>
<b>Defendant.</b>	<b>§</b>

**CAUSE NO. 4:05-cv-4075 HFB**

**UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY’S UNOPPOSED MOTION  
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO  
FOURTH AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Defendant Union Pacific Railroad Company (“Union Pacific”) files this Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Plaintiffs’ Fourth Amended Class Action Complaint under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 in this cause, and would show the Court as follows:

1. On May 16, 2006, Plaintiffs filed their Fourth Amended Class Action Complaint, adding three new plaintiffs. Counsel for Defendant has agreed to accept service for Union Pacific as to those new plaintiffs. However, because the due date to answer or otherwise respond/move pursuant to Rule 12(a) and (b) varies for the individual plaintiffs, Union Pacific desires to have until June 7, 2006, as a date certain by which to comply with Rule 12.

2. Counsel for Union Pacific has conferred with Plaintiffs' counsel as to the requested date of June 7, 2006, and was told there is no objection.

Wherefore, premises considered, Union Pacific prays that the time for it to answer or otherwise respond/move pursuant to Rule 12(a) and (b) as to Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Class Action Complaint be extended to and including June 7, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sean F. Rommel  
George L. McWilliams  
Arkansas Bar No. 68078  
Sean F. Rommel  
Arkansas Bar No. 94158  
Leisa B. Pearlman  
Arkansas Bar No. 92070  
Jack T. Patterson II  
Arkansas Bar No. 95012  
**PATTON, ROBERTS,**  
**McWILLIAMS & CAPSHAW, L.L.P.**  
2900 St. Michael Drive, Suite 400  
Post Office Box 6128  
Texarkana, Texas 75505-6128  
Telephone: (903) 334-7000  
Facsimile: (903) 334-7007

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served upon all counsel of record in the above action who have agreed to service by electronic filing, and to the following counsel of record by regular United States mail, on this 26th day of May, 2006:

Barry G. Reed  
Zimmerman Reed, PLLP  
14646 N. Kierland Blvd., Suite 145  
Scottsdale, AZ 85254.

/s/ Sean F. Rommel  
Sean F. Rommel